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#### Abstract

The reaction of $\beta$-aroyl- $\alpha$-[4(1,3-disubstituted-2-pyrazolin-5-one)] propionic acids $\underline{1}$ with hydrazines in acetic acid afforded the unexpected 6-aryl-pyridazin3 -ones- -3 instcad of the expected 4-pyrazolinonyl pyridazinones through the fission of the 1,3-disubstituted-2-pyrazolin-5-one. A probable mechanism has been proposed. Reactions of 6-aryl-pyridazin-3-ones with dimethylsulfate, diethylsulfate, ethyl bromoacetate, phosphorus oxychloride and phosphorus pentasulfide have also been described.


It was stated (Sayed et al. 1984) that the reaction of equimolar amounts of $\beta$-aroyl- $\alpha$-[4(1,3-disubstituted-2-pyrazolin-5-one)] propionic acids with hydrazines hydrate in ethanol gave the 4-pyrazolinonyl pyridazinone derivatives. The object of the present work is to replace ethanol as a solvent, with acetic acid, in order to study the influence of the solvent on the type of reaction products.

Thus, the reaction of $1 \underline{a}$ and $1 \underline{b}$ with hydrazine hydrate in acetic acid gave the 6 -aryl-pyridazin-3-ones $3 \underline{a}$ and $3 \underline{b}$ respectively (Scheme 1 ), instead of the expected 4-pyrazolinonyl pyridazinones 2 (Scheme 2). The 6-aryl-pyridazin-3ones 3 were produced presumably according to the following mechanism (Scheme 2). The structures of compounds $\underline{3}$ were supported by the following facts:

1. By similarity of melting points with the same compounds prepared alternatively by the reaction of the corresponding $\beta$-aroylacrylic acids 5a,b
with hydrazine hydrate in ethanol (Baddar et al. 1965 and Rupert et al. 1976a and b).
2. The PMR (acetone $\left.-d_{6} \delta\right)$ spectrum of 3 a showed signals at $12.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ broad, NH$)$, 7.9-6.8 $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}+\quad \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-) \quad$ and $\left.3.84, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, and that of $3 \underline{b}$ showed signals at $12.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH})$, 7.6-6.3 $(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}+-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-)$ and at $2.3\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
3. The mass spectrum of $3 \underline{a}$ showed a molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=202$ ( $100 \%$ ). Pyridazone 3a fragments initially by loss of carbon monoxide followed by loss of $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}$, then $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, to give charged ions at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=174$ $(5.3 \%), 146(4 \%), 145(30.6 \%)$ and $131(8.6 \%)$, whilst the loss of 15 mass units from the parent ion corresponds to the loss of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ with subsequent formation of the charged ion at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=187$ (5.3\%) (Scheme 3). The mass spectrum of $3 \underline{b}$ showed a molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=200(100 \%)$, then followed the same pathway of fragmentation (loss of $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}$ and $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ to give the corresponding charged ions at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=172(22 \%), 144$ ( $4 \%$ ), $143(17.3 \%), 128(24.6 \%), 185(8 \%)$.
4. The IR spectra $\left(\nu_{\operatorname{tax}} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ of $\underline{3}$ showed $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ at $1630-1620, v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ at 1585-1570 and $v \mathrm{NH}$ at 3380-3200.

When the reaction of $1 \underline{a}$ and $1 \underline{b}$ was carried out with phenylhydrazine under the same conditions $3 \underline{c}$ and $3 \underline{d}$ respectively, were obtained, according to the same above mentioned mechanism. The IR spectra of 3 c and 3 d showed $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ at 1635-1630 and $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ at 1590-1585.

Compounds $3 \underline{a}$ and $3 \underline{b}$ were subjected to further studies. Thus, reaction with dimethylsulfate, diethylsulfate or ethyl bromoacetate gave the N -substituted products $3 \mathrm{e}-\underline{\mathrm{i}}$. The IR spectra of $3 \underline{\mathrm{e}}$ - $\underline{\mathrm{i}}$ showed $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ at $1665-1630$ and $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ at 1605-1585; an additional band at 1720 for $3 \underline{i}$ being attributable to $\nu \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ (carboxylic ester). The PMR (acetone- $d_{6}$ ) of 3 g showed signals at 7.9-6.8 $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}+-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-), 4.1\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \underline{\mathrm{C}}^{2}\right), 3.8\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OC}_{3} \underline{\mathrm{H}}_{3}\right)$ and $1.3\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. The mass spectrum of 3 e showed a molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=216(15.8 \%)$; the parent ion lost $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ to give the charged ion with mass 202 $(100 \%)$ which followed the same pathway of fragmentation as 3a to give the same charged ions at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=187(5.3 \%), 174(5.3 \%), 146(5.3 \%), 145(30.6 \%)$, $131(8.0 \%$ ) (Scheme 3). Similarly, the mass spectrum of 3 g showed a peak at m/e $=230(100 \%)$, of the molecular ion which lost $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ to give again the charged ion of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=202(64.6)$ with the typical fragment pattern of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=187(7.3 \%)$, $174(3.3 \%), 146(6.0 \%), 145(42.6 \%)$ and $131(3.3 \%)$.

Treatment of $3 \underline{a}$ and $3 \underline{b}$ with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ gave the chloro derivatives $6 \underline{a}$ and $6 \underline{b}$, respectively. The $I R$ spectra of $\underline{6}$ were devoid of $v C=O$ and showed $v C=N$ at 1610-1590.

(Scheme 1)




Furthermore reaction of $3 \underline{a}$ and $3 \underline{b}$ with phosphorus pentasulfide in dry xylene gave the corresponding thiones $7 \underline{a}$ and $7 \underline{b}$. The IR spectra of 7 showed $v N-C=S$ at 1480-1475, $v C=S$ at $1390, \nu C=N$ at $1600-1580$ and $v N H$ at 3430-3410. The PMR (acetone $-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of $7 \underline{\mathrm{a}}$ revealed signals at $12.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ (broad), NH), 7.9-6.8 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}+-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ) and $3.86\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OC}_{3}\right)$. The mass spectrum of $7 \underline{\text { a }}$ showed a molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=218(14.6 \%)$.

## Experimental

All melting points are uncorrected, IR spectra ( KBr discs) were recorded on a Unicam SP 1200 spectrophotometer, PMR spectra on a Jeol FX 100 Fourier transform instrument using tetramethyl silane as internal standard. Mass spectra were obtained with an AEI MS 902 mass spectrometer operated at 70 eV electron energy, 6 KV accelerating voltage and ion source temperature of $120-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using the direct insertion probe.

Reaction of $1 \underline{a}$ and $1 \underline{b}$ with hydrazines; formation of $3 \underline{a}-\underline{d}$
A solution of $1 \underline{a}$ and $1 \underline{b}(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ and hydrazine hydrate or phenylhydrazine $(0.015 \mathrm{~mol})$ in acetic acid ( 30 ml ) was refluxed for 5 hrs , concentrated and cooled. The separated solid was filtered and fractionally crystallized from benzene to give $3 \underline{a}-\mathbf{d}$, and the residue was crystallized from ethanol to give 4 , which is identified by m.p. determination.

## Reaction of 5a and 5bw with hydrazines; formation of 3a and 3d

A solution of $5 \underline{a}$ or $5 \underline{b}(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ and hydrazine hydrate ( 0.015 mol$)$ in ethanol ( 20 ml ) was refluxed for 5 h , concentrated, cooled, and the solid separated was filtered and crystallized from benzene to give $3 \underline{a}$ and $3 \underline{b}$, respectively.

Reaction of $3 \underline{a}$ and $3 \underline{b}$ with dimethylsulfate, diethylsulfate and ethyl bromoacetate; formation of $3 \underline{e}-\underline{i}$

A mixture of $3 \underline{a}$ or $3 \underline{b}(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$, anhydrous potassium carbonate $(0.03 \mathrm{~mol})$, dimethylsulfate, diethylsulfate or ethyl bromoacetate ( 0.03 mol ) and dry acetone ( 50 ml ) was refluxed for 20 h . After removing of the excess of the solvent, the products were crystallized from the proper solvent to give compounds $3 \underline{e}-\underline{i}$ (Table 1).

Reaction of $3 \underline{a}$ and $3 \underline{b}$ with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$; formation of $6 \underline{a}$ and $6 \underline{b}$
A mixture of $3 \underline{a}$ and $3 \underline{b}(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ was gently refluxed for 30 min , cooled, treated with crushed ice, and the precipitated solid filtered and crystallized from a suitable solvent to give $6 \underline{a}$ and $6 \underline{b}$ respectively.

Table 1. Physical Data of various compounds prepared

| Compd. | M.p. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Solvent yield \% | Mol. formula (M.Wt.) | Analysis \% Found/Calcd. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | C | H | N |
| 3a | 187 | benzene 35 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \\ (202) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65.40 \\ & 65.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.00 \\ & 4.99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.70 \\ & 13.86 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3b | 141 | $\begin{gathered} \text { benzene } \\ 33 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ (200) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.20 \\ & 71.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.10 \\ & 6.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.10 \\ & 14.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 c | 129 | benzene 29 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \\ (278) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.50 \\ & 73.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.10 \\ & 5.07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.20 \\ & 10.07 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3d | 130 | benzene 30 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ (276) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78.30 \\ & 78.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.80 \\ & 5.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.30 \\ & 10.14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 e | 100 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Pet. ether } 80-100 \text { ) } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \\ (216) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.80 \\ & 66.66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.60 \\ & 5.57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.10 \\ & 12.96 \end{aligned}$ |
| 35 | 67 | $\begin{gathered} \text { n-hexane } \\ 37 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ (214) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.80 \\ & 72.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.40 \\ & 6.59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.20 \\ & 13.08 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 g | 73 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Pet. ether } 40-60 \text { ) } \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \\ (230) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67.90 \\ & 67.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.20 \\ & 6.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.20 \\ & 12.17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3h | 122 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Pet. ether } 80-100) \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ (228) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.60 \\ & 73.65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.20 \\ & 7.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.30 \\ & 12.28 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 i | 104 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Pet. ether } 40-60) \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \\ (288) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62.60 \\ & 62.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.60 \\ & 5.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.80 \\ & 9.72 \end{aligned}$ |
| $6 \underline{ }$ | 168 | $\begin{gathered} \text { benzene } \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ (220.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59.80 \\ & 59.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.10 \\ & 4.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.80 \\ & 12.69 \end{aligned}$ |
| $6 \underline{1}$ | 135 | (Pet. ether $\begin{gathered} 40-60+\text { benz.) } \\ 63 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClN}_{2}$ (218.5) | $\begin{gathered} 66.10 \\ 65.90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.20 \\ & 5.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.60 \\ & 12.81 \end{aligned}$ |
| $7 \underline{1}$ | 189 | ethanol 39 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} \\ (218) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.40 \\ & 60.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.70 \\ & 4.62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.70 \\ & 12.84 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7b | 162 | ethanol 35 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \\ (216) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.60 \\ & 66.66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.70 \\ & 5.59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.80 \\ & 12.96 \end{aligned}$ |

Action of $P_{2} S_{5}$ on $3 \underline{a}$ and $3 \underline{b}$; formation of $7 \underline{a}$ and $7 \underline{b}$
A solution of $3 \underline{a}$ or $3 \underline{b}(0.01 \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{5}(0.02 \mathrm{~mol})$ and dry xylene $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ was boiled under reflux for 6 h . The reaction mixture was filtered while hot and then concentrated. The product which separated on cooling, was crystallized from a suitable solvent to give the thione derivative $7 \underline{a}$ and $7 \underline{b}$, respectively.
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## تأثير المذيب على ناتج تفاعل الميدر ازينات <br> مع أحماض بيتا ارويل الفا  أَماض البروبيونيك

## 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ثقّسم الككيمياء ـ بجامعة صنعاء ـ صنعاء ـ البِمهورية الِيمنية }
\end{aligned}
$$





 7 - اريل - بيريدازين -




 10 وحدة عن المركب الأصلي نتيجة فقد بجموعة ميثيل .


ن ـ ايئيل وكذلك التفاعل مع ايثيل برومو الـلات .

كلوريد الفوسفور ليعطى مشتق الكلورو .

الفوسفور ليعطي الثيون المقابل .

الـمراء وفوق البنفسجية وطيف الرنين النووي المغناطيسي وطيف الكتلة .

